UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/083,926                      | 02/27/2002                   | Lixiao Wang          | 10527-395001 / 02-026 | 4859             |
| <sup>26161</sup><br>FISH & RICH | 7590 10/18/2007<br>ARDSON PC |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |
| P.O. BOX 102                    |                              |                      | SEVERSON, RYAN J      |                  |
| MINNEAPOL                       | IS, MN 55440-1022            |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                 |                              |                      | 3731                  |                  |
|                                 |                              | •                    |                       |                  |
|                                 |                              |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                 |                              |                      | 10/18/2007            | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/083,926      | WANG ET AL.  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Ryan Severson   | 3731         |  |

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Ryan Severson.  (3) Michael Hamlin.  (4)  Date of Interview: 03 October 2007.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1.14 and 43.  Identification of prior art discussed: Barath (5.196.024), Vigil et al. (5.336.234), Cook (4.637.396), Vigil et al. (5.320.634), Quiachon et al. (5.484.418), Grayzel et al. (6.942.680).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamlin agreed to amend the claims to say the second material (or striped portion) be discrete and encapsulated by the first material when the balloon is inflated and also the cutting element is centered over the discrete stripe to overcome the standing rejection and overcome known prior art discussed during the interview.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |
|--|
| Date of Interview: 03 October 2007.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐  Claim(s) discussed: 1.14 and 43.  Identification of prior art discussed: Barath (5,196,024), Vigil et al. (5,336,234), Cook (4,637,396), Vigil et al. (5,320,634), Quiachon et al. (5,484,418), Grayzel et al. (6,942,680).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamlin agreed to amend the claims to say the second material (or striped portion) be discrete and encapsulated by the first material when the balloon is inflated and also the cutting element is centered over the discrete stripe to overcome the standing rejection and overcome known prior art discussed during the interview.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |
| Date of Interview: 03 October 2007.  Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □   |
| Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ .  Claim(s) discussed: 1,14 and 43.  Identification of prior art discussed: Barath (5,196,024), Vigil et al. (5,336,234), Cook (4,637,396), Vigil et al. (5,320,634), Quiachon et al. (5,484,418), Grayzel et al. (6,942,680).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamlin agreed to amend the claims to say the second material (or striped portion) be discrete and encapsulated by the first material when the balloon is inflated and also the cutting element is centered over the discrete stripe to overcome the standing rejection and overcome known prior art discussed during the interview.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)   |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   |
| If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1.14 and 43.  Identification of prior art discussed: Barath (5,196,024), Vigil et al. (5,336,234), Cook (4,637,396), Vigil et al. (5,320,634), Quiachon et al. (5,484,418), Grayzel et al. (6,942,680).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamlin agreed to amend the claims to say the second material (or striped portion) be discrete and encapsulated by the first material when the balloon is inflated and also the cutting element is centered over the discrete stripe to overcome the standing rejection and overcome known prior art discussed during the interview.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)   |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Barath (5,196,024), Vigil et al. (5,336,234), Cook (4,637,396), Vigil et al. (5,320,634), Quiachon et al. (5,484,418), Grayzel et al. (6,942,680).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamlin agreed to amend the claims to say the second material (or striped portion) be discrete and encapsulated by the first material when the balloon is inflated and also the cutting element is centered over the discrete stripe to overcome the standing rejection and overcome known prior art discussed during the interview.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |
| (5,320,634), Quiachon et al. (5,484,418), Grayzel et al. (6,942,680).  Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamlin agreed to amend the claims to say the second material (or striped portion) be discrete and encapsulated by the first material when the balloon is inflated and also the cutting element is centered over the discrete stripe to overcome the standing rejection and overcome known prior art discussed during the interview.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamlin agreed to amend the claims to say the second material (or striped portion) be discrete and encapsulated by the first material when the balloon is inflated and also the cutting element is centered over the discrete stripe to overcome the standing rejection and overcome known prior art discussed during the interview.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |
| reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hamlin agreed to amend the claims to say the second material (or striped portion) be discrete and encapsulated by the first material when the balloon is inflated and also the cutting element is centered over the discrete stripe to overcome the standing rejection and overcome known prior art discussed during the interview.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |
| allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)   |
| THE CORMAN MEDITICAL DEDITION OF A CORMAN AND A CORMAN AN |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  |
|  |

(JACKIE) TAN-UYEN HO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required